Single Bench (4)

CF1002 (2)

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

## WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 491 / ~~12006~~07

**PETITIONERS** :

**Ramnath Rathore aged 45 Yr.**
S/o Shri B.L.Rathore
Lecturer, Govt. High.Sec.School
Kaudikasa, Rajnandgaon,
Distt.- Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6137/06
Presented by Shri Sushil Dubey
dated 8-1-06

**Versus**

**RESPONDENTS** :

1. **State Govt. of Chhattisgarh**
Through Secretary
Tribal Welfare Departement & Education
Department D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. **Commissioner,**
Tribal Welfare Department
Ravishnakar University Campus,
Raipur (C.G.)

3. **Joint Director (Treasury and Account)**
Ghadi Chowk, Raipur
Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
6/1/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

## WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

---

**SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.**

---

**WRIT PETITION (S) No.491 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Ramnath Rathore

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

**WRIT PETITION (S) No.492 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Rakesh Bahadur Singh

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

And

**WRIT PETITION (S) No.493 OF 2007**

Petitioner : Harakh Ram Yadav

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

---

Present : Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

---

**O R D E R**

(Passed on this 25th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* vs. *Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*[1].

2. For the aforementioned reasons, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

Subhash